

United States Patent and Trademark Office

on

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/802,735	10/802,735 03/18/2004		Yutaka Takafuji	1035-501	5007		
23117	7590	08/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		RHYE, PC	TRAN, THIEN F				
ARLINGTO		ROAD, 11TH FLOO 22203	rK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	·			2811			
				DATE MAILED: 08/04/2000	DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M -
	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.0
		10/802,735	TAKAFUJI ET AL.	
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thien F. Tran	2811	
	e MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	iress
Period for Re	• •	DEDLY 10 OFT TO EVOIDE AA	10NTU(0) OD TUUDTV (04	
WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perior - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL of time may be available under the provisions of 37) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor eply within the set or extended period for reply will, seceived by the Office later than three months after the ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. Ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed o	n 23 May 2006		
·	·	This action is non-final.		
<i>'</i> =	e this application is in condition for		ters, prosecution as to the	merits is
clos	ed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition o	of Claims			
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1,3-15,17-32 and 43-55</u> is/are	pending in the application.		
•	Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8,11,17-32 a</u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	consideration.	
	m(s) <u>54 and 55</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1,3-5,12-15,51 and 52</u> is/are re	ejected.		
7)∐ Clai	m(s) <u>9,10 and 53</u> is/are objected to.			
8)∏ Clai	m(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application F	apers apers			
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
•	•	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Appl	icant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Rep	acement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	ı(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11) The	oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>	nowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
=	l b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ioroign phonty under de dicio.	3 1 10 (d) (d) 01 (1).	
1.	, —	cuments have been received.		
2.			Application No	
3.	•			Stage
-	application from the International	•		
* See t	he attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attack				
Attachment(s)	References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗆 Intervious	Summary (PTO-413)	
	Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTCs)/Mail Date	D/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katayama (US 6,750,476).

Katayama discloses a semiconductor device (Fig. 1) comprising an insulating substrate (10) having a surface on which a first SiO₂ (12) film is formed; a single-crystal silicon thin film (1a) having bonded thereto a second SiO₂ (13) film, which single-crystal silicon thin film is bonded with the insulating substrate on a partial region of the insulating substrate via the first and second SiO₂ films, the single-crystal silicon thin film having a substantially uniform thickness and a substantially damage-free surface; and a non-single-crystal silicon thin film (204) formed on the insulating substrate in a region where the single-crystal silicon thin film is not bonded with the insulating substrate, which non-single-crystal silicon thin film is formed on the insulating substrate via the first SiO₂ film (12) and a third SiO₂ film (41), wherein the second SiO₂ film (13)and the third SiO₂ film (41) are of different thicknesses.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 3, the single-crystal silicon thin film (1a) has a thickness of 30nm.

Regarding claim 5, the non-single crystal silicon thin film (204) comprises polycrystalline silicon.

Regarding claim 52, transistor elements are formed from the single crystal silicon thin film.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katayama (US 6,750,476).

Katayama as described above does not specifically disclose the single crystal silicon thin film (1a) having a thickness of not more than about 20 nm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the thickness of the single crystal silicon thin film having the claimed range of thickness to reduce the device size as small as possible, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,735

Art Unit: 2811

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katayama (US 6,750,476) in view of Yale (EP 0 559 389).

Katayama as described above does not explicitly disclose the insulating substrate being a high-strain-point glass including an alkaline earth alumino borosilicate glass. Yale discloses a high-strain-point glass as a material for a substrate in liquid crystal display devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the glass substrate of Katayama of the high-strain-point glass disclosed by Yale in order to provide a glass substrate capable of withstanding elevated temperatures and improving the resistance of the glass to chemical attack by reagents.

Regarding claim 13, Yale discloses the insulating substrate being made of barium-alumino borosilicate glass.

Regarding claim 14, Katayama in view of Yale discloses the same structure as claimed wherein the insulating substrate and the single crystal silicon thin film have the same materials as those used in the instant invention. Therefore, it is inherent that the structure of Katayama in view of Yale provides the same characteristics as claimed wherein a difference of linear expansion between the insulating substrate and the single crystal silicon thin film is about not more than 250 ppm at temperatures in a range between substantially room temperature and 600°C.

Regarding claim 15, the insulating substrate as taught by Yale has the same materials as the material used for the insulating substrate in the instant invention. These

Art Unit: 2811

materials for the insulating substrate are inherently high-strain-point glasses whose strain point is not less than 500°C.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 54 and 55 are allowed.

Claims 9, 10 and 53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, 12-15, 51 and 52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/802,735 Page 6

Art Unit: 2811

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien F. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1665. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:00PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

tt August 01, 2006

Thien Tran
Primary Examiner